Since the early 2000s, adaptation – the second principle strategy of a climate politics aimed at reducing the consequences of a changing climate – has risen to the top of the international agenda, parallel to strategies of mitigation aimed at tackling the causes of global warming. Initially pushed by developing countries, adaptation has since become integrated at all levels of climate politics – not just in international negotiations but also at the individual country level, from national to sub-national to municipal jurisdictions, in both developing and developed countries. Such is the case in France, where, following on the heels of the 2009 Grenelle 2 legislation, the government developed a National Adaptation Plan to Climate Change in 2011, which is currently being translated into different territorial iterations (the SRCAE at the level of the region and the PCET at the level of the commune).

This new attention on adaptation raises several questions and debates, for the most part poorly covered by the media, between actors trying to implement adaptation in different country contexts. The question of adapting to the impacts of climate change seems intrinsically tied to the problem of development in poor and emerging countries, and to questions of infrastructure, land use and urban planning in more developed countries. It thus has the potential of either reinforcing well-established politics and practices, or to the contrary, reconfiguring existing domains of public and private action.

The one-day workshop jointly organised by the National Research Agency (ANR) projects ClimaConf and MEDEA will explore debates spurred by questions about the kinds of knowledge needed to tackle the adaptation challenge and the tensions between different socio-political framings of adaptation, both in developing and developed country contexts.
General circulation models have played an essential role in establishing the threat of future climate change. While the major trend lines of global warming impacts are becoming more and more clear (generally more intense storms, increased average temperatures, disrupted crop seasons, less dependable water availability, melting glaciers, rising seas and increased flooding), current climate models are limited in their capacity to predict impacts for smaller geographies (i.e. regions and localities) over shorter time spans (5-15 years). And yet these are exactly the scales at which adaptation planning needs to engage. Current debates focus on how and where to invest limited resources in preparing for impacts, bringing about, for instance, a demand for climate services for adaptation decision-making. What is the role that prediction can and should play in adaptation? Are structural limitations in scenario modelling too intransigent to overcome, or do we need to continue pursuing models of increasing complexity and refinement to achieve better predictive capacity. How do we act in the face of uncertainty and what do disciplines other than modelling have to offer? Are certain approaches to adaptation decision making complementary, or inherently opposed? Considering these questions, four invitees will discuss the tensions and debates concerning predictive expertise and model complexity for action in different sectors, both in developed and developing countries.
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1st Session.
What Kinds of Knowledge for What Kinds of Adaptation?
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International Research Center on Environment and Development (CIRED)
Integrated assessment modelling and its use as input for adaptation policy analysis: example of heat waves vulnerability of Paris urban area.
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Modelling climate change impacts and adaptation in a general equilibrium framework.
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Vers des services climatiques pour le secteur industriel : leçons issues du projet SECIF.
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Advancing Knowledge Systems to Inform Climate Adaptation Decisions.
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2nd Session:
Framing Adaptation to Climate Change in Different Contexts

Saleemul Huq
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Focusing global adaptation funding to the most vulnerable.
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Adaptation Aid Actions: From Incremental to Transformational Change.
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Le changement climatique, principe directeur de la gestion de l’eau ?
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Consistance de l’adaptation au changement climatique et mise en action aménagiste: renforcement réciproque ou auto-destruction ?

General Discussion and Conclusions